IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

MONDAY, THE EIGHTEENTH DAY OF JULY
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE K.C. BHANU

WRIT PETITION NO : 3585 of 2002

Between:

Signna Reddy Venkat Satiswara Reddy S/o S.S. Hussen Reddy
D.No. 1/619-1, Nandyal Road,
Tadipatri, Anantapur District.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Depot Manager, A.P.S.R.T.C.
Guntakal, Anantapur District.
2 The Chairman & Managing Director, A.P.S.R.T.C.
Musheerabad, Hyderabad.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue an order writ more in the nature of Mandamus declaring the action of the respondents in not fixing the notional increments to
the petitioner's pay scale during the period i.e., 23-5-97 to 13-6-2001, even though the Hon'ble Labour Court, Anantapur in its judgment I.D.No.4/99 dated 25-1-2001 has granted an "award" to the petitioner as being arbitrary, illegal and violating Art. 14, 16 & 21 of the Constitution of India and issue consequential directions to the respondents to fix all notional increments while fixing his pay scale during the period from 23-5-97 to 13-6-2001 to his present pay scale on par with his juniors with all consequential benefits for the said period.

Counsel for the Petitioner: S.SURENDRA KUMAR

Counsel for the Respondents: P.VINAYAKA SWAMY

The Court made the following:

ORDER:

Challenging the action of the respondents in not fixing the notional increments to the petitioner's pay scale during the period from 23-05-1987 to 13-06-2001 even though the labour court, Ananthapur in its judgment dated 25-01-2001 has granted an award to the petitioner, the present writ petition is filed.

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned standing counsel for the respondents.

This court cannot be an executing Court for the labour Court. If the order of the Labour Court is not implemented, the remedy is otherwise. Even otherwise, it is brought to the notice of this Court that the petitioner made a representation to the respondents, and therefore, the respondents shall consider the same in accordance with law.

Hence, the writ petition is totally devoid of merit and the same is dismissed. No order as to costs.

_____

JUSTICE K.C.BHANU

Dated July 18, 2005.

YCR

To

1 The Depot Manager, A.P.S.R.T.C.
Guntakal, Anantapur District.
2 The Chairman & Managing Director, A.P.S.R.T.C.
Musheerabad, Hyderabad.

3. 2 C.D. copies.